

Optimization Objectives

Amaires@May 2024

1 Introduction

Given samples (x, y) from a distribution with probability density function $p(x, y)$, the optimization goal of a classification problem or a regression problem is to find a good $p_\theta(y|x)$ where θ is the parameter of a chosen family of probability density functions. The objective can be derived in three different but related ways.

1.1 K-L divergence of conditional distribution

One criterion of a good $p_\theta(y|x)$ is how close it is to $p(y|x)$. One such closeness measure is the K-L divergence between $p(y|x)$ and $p_\theta(y|x)$ which is $\int p(y|x) \log \frac{p(y|x)}{p_\theta(y|x)} dy$. Of course, this should work across all x , therefore our objective should be

$$\min_{\theta} \int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log \frac{p(y|x)}{p_\theta(y|x)} dy \right] dx = \min_{\theta} \left[\int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log p(y|x) dy \right] dx - \int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy \right] dx \right]$$

For our purpose, the first term is an unknown constant independent of θ . Removing this constant, our objective changes to

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} - \int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy \right] dx &= \min_{\theta} - E_{x \sim p(x)} E_{y \sim p(y|x)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ &= \min_{\theta} - E_{x, y \sim p(x, y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

The left side of the above equation can also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} - \int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy \right] dx &= \min_{\theta} - \int \int p(x) p(y|x) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy dx \\ &= \min_{\theta} - \int \int p(x, y) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy dx \\ &= \min_{\theta} - \int p(y) \int p(x|y) \log p_\theta(y|x) dx dy \\ &= \min_{\theta} - E_{y \sim p(y)} E_{x \sim p(x|y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ &= \min_{\theta} - E_{x, y \sim p(x, y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

So basically, the optimization objective is the following three equivalent functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} - E_{x \sim p(x)} E_{y \sim p(y|x)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ \min_{\theta} - E_{y \sim p(y)} E_{x \sim p(x|y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ \min_{\theta} - E_{x, y \sim p(x, y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

1.2 K-L divergence of joint distribution

Since $p_\theta(x, y) = p(x) p_\theta(y|x)$, it is easy to arrive at the same conclusions by minimizing the K-L divergence between $p(x, y)$ and $p_\theta(x, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} \int \int p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p_\theta(x, y)} dx dy &= \min_{\theta} \int \int p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x) p(y|x)}{p(x) p_\theta(y|x)} dx dy \\ &= \min_{\theta} \int \int p(x, y) \log \frac{p(y|x)}{p_\theta(y|x)} dx dy \\ &= \min_{\theta} \left[\int \int p(x, y) \log p(y|x) dx dy - \int \int p(x, y) \log p_\theta(y|x) dx dy \right] \end{aligned}$$

Again, the first term is an unknown constant independent of θ that can be removed. The objective changes to

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} - \int \int p(x, y) \log p_\theta(y|x) dx dy &= \min_{\theta} E_{x, y \sim p(x, y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ &= \min_{\theta} \int p(x) \left[\int p(y|x) \log p_\theta(y|x) dy \right] dx = \min_{\theta} E_{x \sim p(x)} E_{y \sim p(y|x)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \\ &= \min_{\theta} \int p(y) \left[\int p(x|y) \log p_\theta(y|x) dx \right] dy = \min_{\theta} E_{y \sim p(y)} E_{x \sim p(x|y)} \log p_\theta(y|x) \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Maximum likelihood

Given a set of samples (x_i, y_i) , assumed to be i.i.d, one objective could be to maximize the likelihood of observing these samples, which is

$$\max_{\theta} \prod_i p_{\theta}(x_i, y_i)$$

This is equivalent to minimizing the negative log likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} \min - \sum_i \log p_{\theta}(x_i, y_i) &= \min_{\theta} - \sum_i \log p(x_i)p(y_i|x_i) \\ &= \min_{\theta} - \left(\sum_i \log p(x_i) + \sum_i \log p_{\theta}(y_i|x_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

As before, the first term is an unknown constant independent of θ . Once the first term is removed, the objective becomes

$$\min_{\theta} - \sum_i \log p_{\theta}(y_i|x_i)$$

Divide it by the number of samples, and rewrite it in expectation form, the objective becomes

$$\min_{\theta} - E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \log p_{\theta}(y|x)$$

This is the same as what is derived in Section 1.1 and Section 1.2.

2 Classification

In a classification problem, y takes on a fixed number of possible values usually encoded using numbers from 1 through K . A classifier usually outputs the entire probability vector $p_{\theta}(y = 1|x), p_{\theta}(y = 2|x), p_{\theta}(y = 3|x), \dots, p_{\theta}(y = K|x)$. In the case of a binary classification problem, however, it is more customary to use $\{0, 1\}$ to encode the two possible values that y can take, and the classifier only outputs $f(x) = p_{\theta}(y = 1|x)$ with $p_{\theta}(y = 0|x)$ implied to be $1 - f(x)$. In this case, the optimization objective can be rewritten as

$$\min_{\theta} - E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} (y \log f(x) + (1 - y) \log(1 - f(x)))$$

This is usually called the binary cross entropy objective.

3 Regression

In a regression problem, a neural network's output can be interpreted as the mean $\mu_{\theta}(x)$ of a normal distribution $N(\mu_{\theta}(x), I)$. With this interpretation, the optimization objective can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} - E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \log p_{\theta}(y|x) &= \min_{\theta} - E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \log(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|y - \mu_{\theta}(x)\|^2\right) \\ &= -\frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \min_{\theta} E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \|y - \mu_{\theta}(x)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where d is the dimension of y . This objective is equivalent to

$$\min_{\theta} E_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \|y - \mu_{\theta}(x)\|^2$$

which is the well known mean squared error objective.